Tensor Analysis and Multi-Scale Features Based Multi-View Human Action Recognition
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Abstract—A method of multi-view human action recognition based on multi-scale features via tensor analysis is proposed. A series of silhouettes are transformed to a Serials-Frame image, from which the multi-scale features are extracted to construct the eigenSpace of a tensor, which named Serials-Frame Tensor (SF-Tensor). The SF-Tensor subspace analysis is applied to separate the variable views and people information to recognize different actions. Experiment results obtained show that the proposed method attains a good recognition rate and improves the efficiency significantly.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Vision-based human action recognition is currently one of the most active research in the domain of computer vision due to its vast application, such as automated surveillance system, sign language interpretation, analysis of sports events and many other movement-based human-computer interaction(MB-HCI) applications. So far the vision-based pose recognition has been extensively studied, such as hand, arm, gait and full-body poses[1]. A pose is the unit of an action, e.g. waving a racket, while an action is an ordered series of several movements[2], each of which has some kinds of relevance. Recently there have been more action recognition methods than before using probability and statistics and artificial intelligence technology such as Dynamic Bayes Network(DBN)[3], Hidden Markov Model[4] and grammar analysis. Existing methods can also be categorized according to the single-view[5] vs. multi-view[6], and types of features extracted e.g., 2D silhouette[5] and 3D volumetric reconstruction[1],[6].

It is important to obtain multiple views for vision-based action recognition in many MB-HCI applications, because a series of silhouettes of the same action have great differences from variable views. The Multi-layer DBN model[3], star skeleton[4] and Energy-Action model[7] all of which had not been considering the multi-view factor which is carrying weight in the process of recognition. Recently the multi-view recognition method has been used in action recognition[1], facial recognition[8], sign language recognition and so on. One challenge for multi-view methods is that precise external camera calibration is usually required for 3D reconstruction. In addition, matching the observed body shapes to templates possibly in different orientations remains a challenging research problem[1].

A number of multi-view action recognition algorithms have been developed based on silhouettes. For example, recently pose recognition[1] and similarly gait recognition[9] are achieved by tensor analysis with the silhouettes extracted from the 2D set of poses. There are many other feature extraction methods such as velocity[3], period of movement, human star skeleton[4] and Energy-Action model[7] etc.

A multi-view action recognition method is proposed in this paper based on multi-scale features and tensor analysis, by putting a Serials-Frame image into the eigenSpace of a tensor named Serials-Frame Tensor (SF-Tensor). A Serials-Frame image constituted by a series of silhouettes is proposed in order to represent an action composed of continuous relevant poses. In fact, multiple scales of motion details are contained in the human activities. The motion related to moving trace mainly reflect the situation between people and surroundings or interaction of multi-people, and this motion is analyzed on the big scale. The motion related to poses such as bending and waving hand often is applied on the medium scale. The motion related limbs mostly is used on small scale[3]. We proposed a recognition method based on the multi-scale features extracted from the three scales, then a Serials-Frame Tensor (SF-Tensor) is used to recognize a real action consisting of a series of relative poses. The multi-scale features under multiple views can be put into a SF-Tensor which is produced by the core tensor and an action basis matrix, a view basis matrix, a people basis matrix and a Serials-Frame basis matrix using Higher-Order SVD (HOSVD)[10]. Given a query input of one of the target actions, corresponding action and view coefficient vectors can be computed using the core tensor. By analyzing this SF-Tensor, an unknown action could be classified under multi-view conditions. In order to distinguish the dangerous actions from the ordinary actions, the movements of upper-limbs have been considered emphatically. The rest of this paper is organized as follows: in Section II, the definitions related to tensor are described; in Section III, the multi-scale features extraction and Serials-Frame Tensor algorithm are introduced; in Section IV, the experimental results are provided and conclusions are drawn in Section V.

II. TENSOR FUNDAMENTALS

A tensor is a multidimensional array. More formally, an Nth-order tensor is an element of the tensor product of N vector spaces, each of which has its own coordinate system. In this paper, lowercase italic letters (a, b,...) denote scalars, bold lowercase letters (a, b,...) denote vectors, bold uppercase letters (A, B,...) denote matrices, and calligraphic uppercase letters (𝒜, 𝒁,...) denote tensors. Following the formal definition is given below:

Definition 2.1: The order of a tensor 𝒜 ∈ ℜ¹×²×...×ⁿ is N. The mode-n vectors (fibers) of 𝒜 are the İₙ-dimentional
The mode-\(n\) vectors of \(\mathcal{A}\) is given in Fig. 1 and the flattened matrix of \(\mathcal{A}\) is given in Fig. 2.

**Definition 2.2:** The mode-\(n\) product of a tensor \(\mathcal{A} \in R^{I_1 \times I_2 \times \ldots \times I_N}\) by a matrix \(\mathbf{U} \in R^{I_n \times J}\), denoted by \((\mathcal{A} \times_n \mathbf{U})\), is an \(I_1 \times I_2 \times \ldots \times I_{n-1} \times J \times I_{n+1} \times \ldots \times I_N\)-tensor of which the entries are given by

\[
(\mathcal{A} \times_n \mathbf{U})_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{n-1}, j, i_{n+1}, \ldots, i_N} = \sum_{k} a_{i_1,j,k} u_{k,i_{n+1}} u_{k,i_{n+2}} \ldots u_{k,i_N}.
\]  

This mode-\(n\) product of tensor and matrix can be expressed in terms of unfolding matrices for ease of usage.

\[
(\mathcal{A} \times_n \mathbf{U})_{(n)} = \mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{(n)}
\]  

Given the tensor \(\mathcal{A} \in R^{I_1 \times I_2 \times \ldots \times I_N}\) and the matrices \(\mathbf{U} \in R^{I_n \times J}\), one has

\[
(\mathcal{A} \times_n \mathbf{U}) = (\mathcal{A} \times_n \mathbf{V})_{(n)} = \mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{(n)}
\]  

Similarly, N-mode SVD is a generalization of the SVD for higher order matrices[11]. If \(\mathcal{D}\) is an n-order tensor and \(\mathcal{D} \in R^{I_1 \times I_2 \times \ldots \times I_N}\), the application of n-mode SVD orthogonally "n" associated vector spaces of \(\mathcal{D}\) and decomposes the tensor as

\[
\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{Z} \times_1 \mathbf{U}_1 \times_2 \mathbf{U}_2 \ldots \times_n \mathbf{U}_n \ldots \times_N \mathbf{U}_N
\]  

where \(\mathbf{U}_n, \forall n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}\), is an orthonormal matrix and contains the ordered principal components for the n-mode. \(\mathcal{Z}\) is called the core tensor. The decomposition algorithm is as follows:

1) For \(n = 1, \ldots, N\), compute matrix \(\mathbf{U}_n\) in (4) by computing the SVD of the flattened matrix \(\mathbf{D}_{(n)}\) and setting \(\mathbf{U}_n\) to be the left matrix of the SVD.
2) Solve for the core tensor as follows:

\[
\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{D} \times_1 \mathbf{U}_1^T \times_2 \mathbf{U}_2^T \ldots \times_n \mathbf{U}_n^T \ldots \times_N \mathbf{U}_N^T
\]

### III. PROPOSED APPROACHES

#### A. Serials-Frame image(SFI) construction

Distinction from extracting a silhouette as feature, a series of silhouettes were used to represent one action as a Serial-Frame image(SFI). See Fig. 3. Firstly a certain number(16) continuous silhouettes of one action were given into \(I_f\) as columns of the Serial-Frame matrix \(\mathbf{A}\), then the series of silhouettes were resized to 120 \(\times\) 160 to reduce dimension, thirdly every silhouette was cropped to a uniform size 80 \(\times\) 80 as the dimensions of one-frame in the subspace \(f_{ea}\) when using silhouette features, see Fig. 4(a).

In this way, a \(f_{ea}\) Serials-Frame matrix \(\mathbf{A}\) is for every SFI, and the relevant subspace is represented as \(R^{120 \times 160}\).

#### B. Multi-Scale features(MSF) from Serials-Frame image

A number of pose recognition algorithms have been developed based on Silhouette Images(SI) attained as features. For example, in[12]pose recognition was achieved by comparing observed silhouette with the silhouettes projected from the 3D models of a set of poses. In[13]a recognition system was proposed based on the "envelope shape" representation of poses; experiments were conducted on simple actions. Recently, the Gaussian mixture models(GMM) have been used to represent pose silhouettes and the Kullback-Leibler divergence to compute distances between silhouettes. Bo Peng[1] used tensor analysis based on silhouettes to do pose recognition.

Using silhouette features for action recognition, there are 6400 dimensions in the space \(f_{ea}\) because the size of a silhouette is 80 \(\times\) 80, on this condition the dimensions of the
eigenSpace $I_{f} \times I_{\text{efa}}$ of a tensor are too magnitude. Instead of taking a silhouette as feature, a method of extracting Multi-Scale features (MSF) from a Serials-Frame image as the dimension of $I_{\text{efa}}$ is proposed. First of all the silhouettes were converted into contours for preprocessing.

1) Big-Scale: On the big scale, the velocity of moving human was used as feature. Firstly the center of a contour was calculated, then the velocity could be attained by the time and distance of the variant centers in a series of continuous frames of a Serials-Frame image.

2) Medium-Scale: On the medium scale, the contour was used to attain the medium-scale feature, which was composed by three parts. Firstly, the width of each contour was extracted by raster-scanning, which reflected the scope of the movements of the upper-limbs and legs mainly. Then the number of the intersectant points was obtained by scanning the contour line by line, which reflected the osition of the upper-limb. Finally the period of the swing upper-limbs and legs mainly. Then the period of the swing upper-limbs and legs mainly. Then the period of the swing upper-limbs could be detected if there is obstruction to some extent. The multi-scale $1 + 161 + 12 = 174$ dimensions vector is used as one-frame feature of a Serials-Frame image, noted as $\text{efa}$, and the eigenSpace of the tensor is $R^{I_{f} \times I_{\text{efa}}}$.

3) Small-Scale: On the small scale, the positions of key points of human skeleton was used to express the action. The points are composed by 5 end points(14) consisting of head and four limbs and 1 corner point(15) of swing upper-limbs from a human skeleton, so the small scale feature has 12 dimensions. Fig. 4(d) shows that the key points of human skeleton, and it is obviously to see that the limb might not be detected if there is obstruction to some extent. The multi-scale $1 + 161 + 12 = 174$ dimensions vector is used as one-frame feature of a Serials-Frame image, noted as $\text{efa}$, and the eigenSpace of the tensor is $R^{I_{f} \times I_{\text{efa}}}$.

C. Serials-Frame based tensor (SF-Tensor)

Tensor-Action is a multi-linear extension of a Serials-Frame action image. Given a Serials-Frame image including multiple factors such as action, view and people, a tensor $D \in R^{I_{a} \times I_{v} \times I_{f} \times I_{\text{efa}}}$ can be constructed, where $I_{a}$, $I_{v}$, $I_{f}$ and $I_{\text{efa}}$ denote the dimensions of action, views, people, serials of frames and one-frame feature in a Serials-Frame image respectively. HOSVD is applied to decompose the action, view and people information etc. as:

$$D = Z \times U_{a} \times U_{v} \times U_{f} \times U_{\text{efa}} \quad (6)$$

where the core tensor $Z \in R^{I_{a} \times I_{v} \times I_{f} \times I_{\text{efa}}}$ governs the interaction between the factors represented in the 5 mode matrices. The mode matrix $U_{a} \in R^{I_{a} \times I_{1}}$, $U_{v} \in R^{I_{v} \times I_{2}}$, and $U_{f} \in R^{I_{f} \times I_{3}}$ represent the parameters space of various action, views and people, respectively. The mode matrix $U_{\text{efa}} \in R^{I_{\text{efa}} \times I_{4}}$, and $U_{a}$, $U_{v}$ and $U_{f}$ constitute the eigenSpace of a Serials-Frame image. According to Equation (3), Equation (6) can be transformed follow Equation (7):

$$D = (Z \times U_{a} \times U_{v} \times U_{f} \times U_{\text{efa}}) \times U_{a} = B \times U_{a} \quad (7)$$

D. Recognition Using SF-Tensor

Before discussing SF-Tensor algorithm, we introduce two formulated descriptions. As an extend of fibers, we can define mode-n hyper-slices.

Definition 3.1: The mode-n hyper-slices of N-order $A \in R^{I_{1} \times I_{2} \times \ldots \times I_{n}}$ could be decomposed as below:

$$\mathcal{G}(A) = \{A_{.,.,\ldots,.}, A_{.,.,\ldots,.}, \ldots, A_{.,.,\ldots,.}\} \quad (8)$$

The mode-1 hyper-slices, mode-2 hyper-slices and mode-3 hyper-slices of a 3rd-order tensor $A$ are denoted by $X_{1}$, $X_{2}$ and $X_{3}$, respectively, see Fig. 5. The mode-n hyper-slices for mode-n product has the following properties.

Property 3.1: Given the tensor $A \in R^{I_{1} \times I_{2} \times \ldots \times I_{n} \times I_{a} \times I_{b} \times I_{c}}$, $B \in R^{I_{1} \times I_{2} \times \ldots \times I_{n} \times I_{a} \times I_{b} \times I_{c}}$, the matrices $U \in R^{I_{n} \times I_{a} \times I_{b} \times I_{c}}$ and $B = A \times_{n} U$.
TABLE I. MULTI-VIEW RECOGNITION RATE OF EACH METHOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MSF SF-Tensor</th>
<th>SI SF-Tensor</th>
<th>MSF Clustering</th>
<th>SI Clustering</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>view1 (0°)</td>
<td>65.00%</td>
<td>57.50%</td>
<td>34.38%</td>
<td>37.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>view2 (45°)</td>
<td>60.00%</td>
<td>45.00%</td>
<td>46.88%</td>
<td>40.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>view3 (90°)</td>
<td>55.00%</td>
<td>35.00%</td>
<td>37.50%</td>
<td>28.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>view4 (135°)</td>
<td>60.00%</td>
<td>60.00%</td>
<td>43.75%</td>
<td>31.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>view5 (180°)</td>
<td>55.00%</td>
<td>67.50%</td>
<td>40.63%</td>
<td>31.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>view6 (225°)</td>
<td>60.00%</td>
<td>55.00%</td>
<td>40.63%</td>
<td>28.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>view7 (270°)</td>
<td>77.50%</td>
<td>25.00%</td>
<td>40.63%</td>
<td>25.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>view8 (315°)</td>
<td>65.00%</td>
<td>47.50%</td>
<td>34.38%</td>
<td>28.13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Applying hyper-slices operator along $I_v, I_p$ on $D$ and $B$ in Equation (7), respectively, we can get

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{I}_v)\left(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{I}_p)(D)\right) = \{\mathcal{D}_{scp}\}$$

(9)

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{I}_v)\left(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{I}_p)(B)\right) = \{\mathcal{B}_{scp}\}$$

(10)

where $v = 1, \ldots, I_v$; $p = 1, \ldots, I_p$.

$\mathcal{B}_{scp} \in R^{L_{I_v} \times L_{I_p} \times \frac{1}{I_p}}$ is the basis tensor for a particular viewpoint $v$ and people $p$. According to Equation (7), we can obtain

$$\mathcal{D}_{scp} = \mathcal{B}_{scp} \times_4 \mathcal{U}_a$$

(11)

where $\mathcal{D}_{scp}, \mathcal{B}_{scp} \in R^{L_{I_v} \times L_{I_p} \times \frac{1}{I_p}}, \mathcal{U}_a \in R^{L_{I_v} \times L_p}$. Give an unknown action image, a $1 \times 1 \times 1 \times I_v \times I_p$ tensor $\mathcal{D}_{test}$ can be constructed. The action can be determined by following:

$$\arg\min_{a,v,p} \left\| \mathcal{D}_{test} - \mathcal{D}_{scp} \right\|$$

(12)

IV. EXPERIMENTS

A. The action dataset

There are 4 different actions in the dataset, which are running with a tool lifted, walking, running while hitting with bare hand, walking while waving hand. Each of the actions consists of a series of 16 continuous frames in a video section, which is performed by 10 people in 8 different torso views of which are: $0^\circ, 45^\circ, 90^\circ, 135^\circ, 180^\circ, 225^\circ, 270^\circ, 315^\circ$ respectively.

So there are 320 samples of actions in experiment. The model of camera is Panasonic AG-DVC180BMC DV (25 frames/second), and the resolution is 240 × 320.

B. Experimental results

Four methods were used to attain the recognition results and to compare the results with each other. They are MSF based SF-Tensor analysis (MSF SF-Tensor), SI based SF-Tensor analysis (SI SF-Tensor), MSF based K-means clustering (MSF Clustering) and SI based K-means clustering (SI Clustering) respectively.

There are 8 folds in variable views subspace, each of which has $4 \times 10$ images (SFI). Firstly 40 images in one fold were selected randomly as the test set form D, while remaining $40 \times 7$ images of other folds were used as the training set, then the training progress was repeated 8 times. From Table I and Fig. 6 it is easily observed that the MSF SF-Tensor has higher recognition rate than both the two K-means clustering methods. Meanwhile, considering the rate line of SI SF-Tensor, the highest point is above that of MSF SF-Tensor, while the two local lowest points are quite beneath, this is because the silhouettes are difficult to recognize for the similarity under the views of toward to and back away from the camera. The rate line of SI SF-Tensor demonstrates that the recognition rates change remarkably under multiple views.

TABLE II. DIFFERENT PEOPLE RECOGNITION RATE OF EACH METHOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MSF SF-Tensor</th>
<th>SI SF-Tensor</th>
<th>MSF Clustering</th>
<th>SI Clustering</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>people1</td>
<td>81.25%</td>
<td>65.63%</td>
<td>37.50%</td>
<td>31.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>people2</td>
<td>71.88%</td>
<td>78.13%</td>
<td>37.50%</td>
<td>31.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>people3</td>
<td>62.50%</td>
<td>84.38%</td>
<td>43.75%</td>
<td>37.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>people4</td>
<td>68.75%</td>
<td>62.50%</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>31.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>people5</td>
<td>68.75%</td>
<td>68.75%</td>
<td>40.63%</td>
<td>31.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>people6</td>
<td>68.75%</td>
<td>65.63%</td>
<td>40.63%</td>
<td>34.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>people7</td>
<td>68.75%</td>
<td>62.50%</td>
<td>40.63%</td>
<td>31.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>people8</td>
<td>75.00%</td>
<td>68.75%</td>
<td>43.75%</td>
<td>37.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>people9</td>
<td>81.25%</td>
<td>87.50%</td>
<td>53.13%</td>
<td>37.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>people10</td>
<td>87.50%</td>
<td>90.63%</td>
<td>40.63%</td>
<td>40.63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TABLE III. MEAN RECOGNITION RATE BASED ON VIEW VS PEOPLE OF EACH METHOD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>MSF SF-Tensor</th>
<th>SI SF-Tensor</th>
<th>MSF Clustering</th>
<th>SI Clustering</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>view</td>
<td>73.44%</td>
<td>73.44%</td>
<td>42.81%</td>
<td>34.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>people</td>
<td>63.13%</td>
<td>49.06%</td>
<td>39.84%</td>
<td>31.25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 8. Mean Recognition Rate Based on View vs People of Each Method**

There are 10 folds in variable people subspace, each of which has $4 \times 8$ images (SFI). Firstly 32 images in one fold were selected randomly as the test set form D, while remaining $32 \times 9$ images of other folds were used as the training set, then the training progress was repeated 10 times. From Table II and Fig. 7 it is obviously observed that both the SF-Tensor method has higher recognition rate than the two K-means clustering methods. Meanwhile, from Fig.7 we can see that the distribution of recognition rates of each method is quite stable, which demonstrates that action recognition has no much relevance to different people.

Table III and Fig. 8 show the mean recognition rate of the four methods on condition of multi-view and different people separately. From Fig.8 it can be observed that both the SF-Tensor method have higher recognition rate than the two K-means clustering methods on each condition. It is also obviously to see that the recognition rate of MSF SF-Tensor method is similar to SI SF-Tensor method considering different people, while the former is better than the latter under multi-view condition. Meanwhile the time-consuming of MSF SF-Tensor method is much less than SI SF-Tensor method because of the far less dimensions in the eigenSpace of the former.

**V. CONCLUSION**

A method of multi-view action recognition using tensor analysis based on multi-scale features (MSF) is proposed. The Serials-Frame based tensor (SF-Tensor) is decomposed to action subspace, view subspace, people subspace and Serials-Frame eigenSpace to recognize different actions constituted by a series of poses. The experiment results prove that the new method attain a good recognition rate in case of variable views and different people respectively. On the other hand the efficiency is highly improved by using multi-scale features.
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